

REMARKS

Claims 36-48 remain pending in this application, with Claims 36 and 37 being independent.

Obviousness Type Double Patenting Rejections

Claims 36-47 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting as allegedly being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,743,852 for the reasons given at page 2 of the Action.

In response, Applicants submitted a Terminal Disclaimer on May 29, 2007.

Applicants submit that this Terminal Disclaimer obviates the double patenting rejections advanced in the Action.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the double patent rejections and that the claims be passed to issue.

Application No. 10/735,119
Request For Reconsideration dated November 6, 2007
Office Action dated April 3, 2007

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached by telephone at (860) 571-5001, by facsimile at (860) 571-5028, or by email at steve.bauman@us.henkel.com. All correspondence should continue to be directed to the address given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Steven G. Bauman
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 33,832

HENKEL CORPORATION
Legal Department
1001 Trout Brook Crossing
Rocky Hill, Connecticut 06067
Customer No. 31217